IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO 2 WESTERN DIVISION 3 4 ERIC JEFFRIES, 5 Plaintiff 6 v.) Case No. C-1-02-351 7 CENTRE LIFE INSURANCE CO.) et als.,) Defendants 8 9 10 11 12 DEPOSITION OF: MITCHELL I. CLIONSKY 13 taken before Jessica R. Stasio, Notary 14 Public-Stenographer, pursuant to Rule 30 of the 15 Rules of Civil Procedure, at the offices of ACCURATE COURT REPORTING, 1500 Main Street, Springfield, 16 17 Massachusetts on September 23, 2003. 18 19 20 Appearances: (see page 2) 21 22 23 Jessica R. Stasio Registered Professional Reporter 24

O. What's incorrect about that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The DSM is a consensus document. Α. Take a group of fifteen people who are on the committee to establish the criteria for a certain diagnosis, let's say it's Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder just for the sake of argument. They will then have the input based on what they're reading as knowledgeable parties or experts in the field about what are the conditions, what are the symptoms, what are the standards that they use to try to determine how to best design this diagnostic category. fact that there are at least four, because we've gone up through the various versions of the DSM, this TR is, of course, the newest one, but there has been DSM IV, DSM III, DSM III-R, all revisions, all attempts at better understanding psychopathology. With each revision there are things that are added, things that are taken away largely based on what the consensus is at that point as to how things work. The practicing clinician rarely sees pure form cases of any disorder. Usually they are set up in a cookbook fashion. You know, column A, you need two out of these. Column B, you need three out of these. Column C, you need one out of these.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Sometimes you are fortunate enough as a clinician to get a case that meets all of those criteria in each case, and you can say with at least a greater sense of certainty if -- and confidence, if not truth, because I am not sure it actually is truth, but a greater sense of certainty that what you have is a true diagnosis here. I can tell you that different people looking at the same patient can legitimately come up with different diagnoses based on their reading of those symptoms and what falls into which category. In the case of ADHD, you have a symptom where -- you have two classes of symptoms. inattention cluster where there is nine symptoms; the other is a hyperactivity/impulsive cluster where there is also nine symptoms. In order to make a diagnosis of a child, you need six out of the nine in one or the other or both categories. Now, you also get people like Russel Barkley, who's one of the preeminent experts in this area who says that in adults often times the disorder ameliorates a bit, it becomes less severe, and then you only need four or five. So the issues of prevalence, the issues of date of onset, the course, all of these various factors that go in, as well as the specifics of how

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

many you need in each category are meant as a source of guidance. They are not made in a way that allows you to say, well, this can't be the diagnosis because there are only four out of the five here. This can't be the diagnosis because there is only two out of the three here. Because what happens is you have this huge wastebasket of leftovers where it doesn't meet any diagnosis. That doesn't mean the person is psychologically healthy, it just means you didn't come up with enough specific symptoms. And some of these symptoms, for example, sexual dysfunction, the person does not complain about symptoms of sexual dysfunction. Okay, well, does that mean that they don't have this disorder or they simply don't want to talk about that? I don't But what your job is as a clinician is to try to best understand, hopefully, for the job of helping somebody and treating them as to what's going on so that you can use that diagnosis to understand the disorder. That's the whole purpose of diagnosis is to understand. So, when we get back to do I agree with that statement, to sort of draw this full circle,

> ACCURATE COURT REPORTING 1500 Main Street, Suite 1412 Springfield, MA 01115 413-747-1806

no, I don't believe that people have to meet

1 DMS about your report --2 Α. Correct. 3 -- orally? So I understand, you agree with Dr. Hartings' diagnosis about the personality 4 disorders of Mr. Jeffries; is that right? 5 6 Α. I agree that Mr. Jeffries has a -- is 7 likely has a somatoform disorder. 8 Q. Likely has? 9 Α. Yeah. I mean we are all talking about more likely than not, okay, these are not things 10 that exist in real life. Disorders are conceptual 11 12 constraints. 13 So it's your opinion it's more likely than not that he suffers somatization personality 14 15 disorder? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Okay. And there are obsessional tendencies involved in this? 18 I don't believe that he has a diagnosis of 19 Α. 20 obsessive-compulsive disorder. 21 It's not your opinion that it's more 22 likely than not that he suffers from the DSM IV defined obsessive-compulsive personality disorder? 23 24 A. Correct.

- Q. And what do you base your judgment with regard to that diagnosis on?
 - A. Which one?

- Q. The obsessive-compulsive?
- A. The very focused and specific kind of way in which he responds to some of the test materials, and the symptom presentation has that flavor to it, that -- this is, I mean, again, this is not a diagnosis, this is based on, you know, we all have personality traits and personality approaches to things. And I think that there is an obsessional way in which he has approached the work-up of this medical condition.
- Q. No, but my question was it's not your opinion that he has OCPD, and why do you conclude that he doesn't have OCPD?
- A. Oh, I don't see the range of obsessive kinds of behaviors or compulsive thoughts and impairment based on that in terms of his relationships. I mean I think Dr. Shear was correct in that portion of her analysis.
- Q. Are most people that enjoy success, lawyers, doctors, psychologists, to a certain degree obsessive or compulsive? I mean those words, are